

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/615,435	10/615,435 07/08/2003		Hiromi Yunoki	2003-1901.ORI	7403	
23165	7590	02/02/2005	EXAMINER		INER	
ROBERT 650 RRIM				NGUYEN	NGUYEN, CAM N	
650 BRIMHALL STREET SOUTH ST PAUL, MN 551161511				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1754		
				DATE MAIL ED: 02/02/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	10/615,435	YUNOKI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Cam N Nguyen	1754					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Ju</u>	lly 2003.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∍ 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression 11.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 07/08/03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/615,435 Page 2

Art Unit: 1754

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

- 1. Claims 1-3 are objected to because of the following informalities:
- A. In claim 1, line 1, "A production process for a catalyst" should be changed to --A process of producing a catalyst--.
- B. In claim 1, line 2, "includes" should be changed to --including--.
- C. In claim 1, line 3, "with the production process" should be changed to --the process--.
- D. In claim 1, line 4, "revolution" should be changed to --revolving--.
- E. In claim 1, line 4, "as" should be deleted.
- F. In claim 2, line 1, "A production process" should be changed to -- The process--.
- G. In claim 2, line 2, "any one member" should be changed to --a member--.
- H. In claim 3, line 1, "A production process" should be changed to -- The process--.
- In claim 3, line 6, "revolution" should be changed to --revolving--.Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

2. Claims 1-3 of this application conflict with claims 1-3 of <u>Copending application No.</u>

10/632,030. 37 CFR 1.78(b) provides that when two or more applications filed by the same applicant contain conflicting claims, elimination of such claims from all but one application may be required in the absence of good and sufficient reason for their retention during pendency in more than one application. Applicant is required to either cancel the conflicting claims from all

Application/Control Number: 10/615,435 Page 3

Art Unit: 1754

but one application or maintain a clear line of demarcation between the applications. See MPEP § 822.

3. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

5. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because they contain the same subject matter as claimed in the copending application No. 10/632,030.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102(b)

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kawajiri et al., "hereinafter Kawajiri", (US Pat. 4,873,217).

Application/Control Number: 10/615,435 Page 4

Art Unit: 1754

Kawajiri discloses a process of preparing a catalyst by coating the catalytic components on a support by using a centrifugal flow coating device (see col. 23, claim 4).

With respect to the limitation on "the step of carrying out simultaneous revolving and rocking of a treatment container charged with the carrier and a catalyst precursor including the catalytic component", it is considered the claims are met by the teaching of the reference because Kawajiri teaches to perform the process using a centrifugal flow coating device, which involves revolving and rocking of the catalytic materials inside of the device at the same time.

Kawajiri discloses the claimed process of preparing a catalyst, thus anticipates the claims.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Citations

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892 Form prepared attached. All references are cited for related art.

Conclusion

10. Claims 1-3 are originally pending in the application. Claims 1-3 are rejected. Claims 1-3 are objected. No claims are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,435

Art Unit: 1754

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Cam Nguyen, whose telephone number is (571) 272-1357. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon, Wed, Thurs, & Fri from 8:45 am. to 5:15 pm.

Page 5

The appropriate fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to telephone number (571) 272-1700.

Nguyen/cnn UW

January 31, 2005 Art Unit: 1754